UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,651	03/29/2004	Mark D. Yarvis	ITL.1954US (P18388)	3419
47795 TROP, PRUNE	7590 03/09/201 ¹ R & HU. P.C.	EXAMINER		
1616 S. VOSS I	RD., SUITE 750	ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH		
HOUSTON, TX	X / /US /-2631		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/812,651	YARVIS, MARK D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	2431		

	KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	2431	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	, on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL 204)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection of	·		·
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-8,14-20 and 26-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but .	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Kaveh Abrishamkar/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2431	

Continuation of 13. Other: The Applicant argues that the Cited Prior Art (CPA), Reynolds et al. (U.S. Patent Pub. No. US 2002/0008140), does not disclose determining whether two RFID match. The CPA teaches that RFIDs are compared with machine-readable data to see if they match (paragraph 0081-0082, and 0085). Furthermore, the Applicant argues that the CPA that the machine-readable data which is compared to the RFID can be in the form of a RFID tag which carries machine readable data or be in the form of a separate RFID tag (paragraph 0029).